Biodegradation of Acetamiprid by both free and immobilized Lysinobacillus macrolides strain MSR-H10 in soil
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Abstract

Neonicotinoids are a commercial insecticides used worldwide in agriculture and are one of the major environmental pollutants. The prospective toxicity of the residues present in environment to humans has expected considerable attention. In this study, fifteen bacterial isolates were isolated from the soil sample, and tested for their Acetamiprid degrading capacity on MSM media containing Acetamiprid as the sole carbon source. Lysinobacillus macrolides strain MSR-H10 was identified using 16S rRNA as one on the best performing isolates. The effects of pH, temperature and agitation speed on the degradation efficiency of acetamiprid were investigated. Results indicated that L. macroldes is able to grow at different pH, temperature and agitation speed. Interestingly, immobilized bacteria with sodium alginate recorded highest performance for growth compared to free bacteria and was able to grow in two types of soil containing different concentration of acetampirid. The immobilized bacteria were found to be used efficiently for the degradation of acetampirid consecutively without any decrease in their efficiency. Hence, L. macroldes has a great efficiency for the degradation of acetampirid at concentration 5 mg/L after 21 days from incubation period in clay soil.
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Introduction

Neonicotinoids have been commonly used in the world since 1990s. Until now; neonicotinoids are representing 25% of the global insecticide market (1). Acetamiprid (AAP) is one of the first-generation neonicotinoid insecticides, and neonicotinoid insecticides have been used for the last two periods as they are less toxic than older insecticides. In recent research, neonicotinoids had some delicate dangerous effects on bees (2) and (3) and neonicotinoid residues were detected in surface water (4, 5), wetland and soils. AAB causes acute and chronic toxicity in mammals and, moreover, a common method of action: mimicking the action of neural transmitters affecting the central nervous system, resulting in paralysis and death (6). In agricultural, acetamiprid are usually applied as insect controls, principally in seed treatments for crops, like corn, soybean and cereals. The residues cause direct or indirect effects on vertebrate wildlife (7).

Consequently, neonicotinoid insecticides can pollute soil and water and accumulate in the food chain. Increasing lines of sign show that these neonicotinoid insecticides cause harm to organisms, such as honeybees and wild bees, and these outcomes have prompted increasing concerns about these insecticides (8, 9). In recent years, acetamiprid residues in crops are receiving considerable attention because of their potential toxicity to humans (10, 11). Pesticides are inherently toxic molecules and have the potential to cause harm to the environment if not used properly. Though, their use in agriculture is inevitable, especially in developing countries to sustain the growing population. When a pesticide is applied in the field a major amount falls on to the soil surface, the contamination potential of any pesticide depends on its residential period in soil. Hence, it is necessary to study the determination behavior of pesticides in soil (12). Bioremediation, which involves the use of microbes to detoxify and degrade pollutants, has received increased attention as an effective biotechnological approach to clean up polluted environments (13). Studies of microbial degradation are useful for the development of bioremediation processes to detoxify pesticides to concentrations lower than the standards established by regulatory authorities (14).

The usage of pesticides to protect crops might alter the soil biological ability either by direct or indirect action, but the knowledge of soil microbial ability to degrade pesticides and the influence of pesticides on microbial diversity in soil is still narrow (15), the fertility of soil depends not only on the textures of soil but also on the biological ability within it. The microbial diversity can have been changed following pesticide use, and such changes could affect soil fertility (16). Some pesticides encourage the growth of microorganisms, but other pesticides have depressive effects or no effects on microorganisms. Use of Phosphoric insecticides such as Dursban and Cardona managed to the revitalization of soil microbes. While the other types of Phosphoric insecticides have shown an increase in the numbers of fungi and a decrease in the numbers of bacteria (17).
Bioremediation processing defined as the use of microbes to detoxify or remove pollutants, which depend on upon microbial enzymatic activities to transform or degrade contaminants, has been greatly used in hydrocarbon mitigation (18,19). Bioremediation is a natural procedure which relies on bacteria, fungi, and plants to alter contaminants as these organisms carry out their normal life functions. Metabolic practices of these organisms are skillful of using chemical contaminants as an energy source, rendering the contaminants harmless or less toxic products in most cases (20).

There are a number of publications on the bacterial biodegradation of the neonicotinoid group of pesticides, with the exclusion of imidacloprid, for which there are 12 published studies. A few research article have discussed the biodegradation of acetamiprid, thiacloprid and thiamethoxam. Many studies selected five photosensitive pesticides (carbendazim, acetochlor, simazine, EPTC, acetamiprid and chlorpyrifos) and six characteristic soil microbes (Bacillus subtilis, Pseudomonas fluorescens, Mycobacterium phlei, Fusarium oxysporum, Penicillium expansum, and Trichoderma harzianum) for conducting experiments (21). All the bacterial strains were subtle to the parent compound and its degradation produces. Microbial mediated bioremediation is of great significance because it promises a cheaper, simpler and more environmentally friendly method when compared to the more commonly active “muck, suck and truck” non-biological remedial methods, in which the contaminants are simply forced up or dug out and are then shipped away (22).

In agriculture, the increase of new plant protection formulations has long been a very active field of research as such problems associated with commercial pesticides must be overwhelmed (23). Researchers are presently designing formulations bacteria with enhanced features, that is, more soluble, slower releasing, and not prematurely degradable using the benefits of bacteria at scale. Though some of the above-mentioned microbes showed strong corresponding neonicotinoid insecticide degradation in nutritional broth by culture, the bioremediation of neonicotinoid insecticides in soil by culture of microbes in situ has rarely been reported.

Therefore, this paper highlights a significant potential uses of bacteria for the soil bioremediation. To isolate, characterize and identify a potential soil bacterium from contaminated soil and immobilization it with sodium alginate, then used it in two forms, liquid and capsulated for degradation potential of acetamiprid with three concentrations besides two soil types clay and sandy soil.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals

Analytical grade acetamiprid (purity, 99%), purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA). The structure of formula of acetamiprid insecticide, was illustrated in Fig.1; (E)-N1-[(6-chloro-3-pyridyl) methyl]-N2-cyano-N1-ethylacetamidine) while other organic
solvents and chemicals were of analytical grade and purchased from standard commercial suppliers.

Fig.1. Chemical structure of acetamiprid pesticide

**Collection of soil samples:**

Rhizospheric soil samples were obtained from maize plant located of government of Giza (30°01'13.6"N- 31°12'30.4"E) treated with pesticides as an agriculture systematic practice. The root system were collected in aseptic bags and carried to the laboratory for further studies. Soil was collected from the surface (0 – 15 cm) of an upland soil at Soil, from Water and Environment Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Egypt. Physical and chemical properties are illustrated in Table 1. Soil samples were air-dried at room temperature, sieved at 1.0 mm to remove the plant material, soil macro fauna and stones, mixed thoroughly in a rotary cylinder and then stored at 4°C prior to use. Before using the soils were autoclaved (121°C at 20 min). To prevent the photo degradation of the insecticides in soil, the pots were kept covered with a black polyethylene sheet.

Table1. Physiochemical properties of the soil under investigated:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle size distribution (%)</th>
<th>Textural</th>
<th>Chemical properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>Silt</td>
<td>Sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Isolation, Purification and Morphological characteristic of rhizobacterial isolates:**

Five grams of pesticide contaminated soil were added to 45 ml sterilized water to have serial dilution up to $10^7$ fold. Dilutions from each sample were plated (in triplicate) on mineral salt medium (MSM) which contains K$_2$HPO$_4$: 500 mg; KH$_2$PO$_4$: 250 mg; NaCl: 0.5 g; NH$_4$SO$_4$: 230 mg; CaCl$_2$·2H$_2$O: 7.5 mg; MgSO$_4$·7H$_2$O: 100 mg; MnSO$_4$·7H$_2$O: 100 mg; FeCl$_3$: 1 mg; Double Distilled Water: 1000 mL at pH = 7, under aseptic condition, Plates were incubated at 28 ± 2°C for 48h. The obtained microbial colonies were purified by transferred onto solid plates. Pure colonies were picked up in MSM slants, positively growing isolates were transferred in 35% glycerol (w/v) at-
80°C until used it. Morphological characteristics of all isolates such as colony morphology (color, shape, surface) were studied. According to "Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology" (24), Grams stain was studied according to (25). The bacterial isolates were coded from (MSR: H1-H15).

**Screening of bacterial isolates for acetamiprid degradation**

Fifteen bacterial isolates were screened for their ability to degrade the acetamiprid when used as sole carbon. 5 ml suspension bacteria from each isolate (10^7 cfu/ml) were transferred individually to 100 ml conical flask, containing 50 salts medium (MSM) and 1% of acetamiprid was added as a sole carbon source and incubated at 30°C at 150 rpm for 5 days in orbital shaking incubator. Non-inoculated flasks were kept as control afterwards. Degradation of acetamiprid by selected isolates were evaluated in liquid culture by bacterial count by the plate count method according to (26).

**PCR Amplification of 16S rRNA Gene and Sequencing**

Active degradation bacteria isolate MSR H10 was identified by 16S rRNA gene sequencing, using PCR master mix (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) with bacterial universal primer sets 27F and 1492R (27F: 5′- AGA GTT TGA TCC TGG CTC AG-3′ and 1492R: 5′-TACGGYTACCTT GTTACGACT T-3′). Resolved 16S rRNA gene sequences were BLAST searched against the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) database (27). Multiple alignments of the nucleotide sequences were performed with the program MUSCLE (28). The phylogenetic tree was constructed by the Maximum Likelihood method (29), based on the Kimura 2-parameter model (30), with bootstrap analysis (1,000 replications) using the software MEGA (version 6) (31). The isolate was renamed *Lysinobacillus macrolides* strain MSR-H10.
**Fig. 2 Molecular Phylogenetic analysis by Maximum Likelihood method**

The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the (32). The tree with the highest log likelihood (-4375.0812) is shown. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood (MCL) approach, and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. The analysis involved 22 nucleotide sequences. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were a total of 1389 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA6 (31).

**Capabilities L. macrolides strain H10 for plant growth promoting activities.**

Indole acetic acid (IAA) production was determined according to protocol by (33). Catalase enzymes were estimated according to (34). Phosphate Solubilization was determined (35) where HCN production (36). The extracellular exopolysaccharide production (EPS) was determined according to (37) also Nitrogenase activity was estimated (38).

**Immobilized of L. macrolides strain MSR H10 by sodium alginate**

Bacteria strain was grown in 100 ml nutrient broth media at 28°C for 48h. The cell was harvested at log phase (10⁸ cfu ml⁻¹) by centrifugation (4°C at 5000g). Cell pellet was capsulated by mixed it with 2% of sodium alginate (ALGOGGL 3001, SG 30- 60, Degussa, France) and humic acid for 25 min at 350 rpm on a magnetic stirrer (IKA® Modelo C-MAG) to form mixed homogeneously then introduced in a syringe and placed on the encapsulation device and extruded drop by drop through the needle (1.55mm) by acting the syringe pump at the rate of 120 ml/hr. Drops fell directly into
1.5% CaCl₂ solution for reticulation (39). The whole method was prepared under aseptic conditions in a laminar air flow hood. Capsules were stored in 0.85 % NaCl till used. Viability encapsulated beads was tested as described by (40).

Environmental factors (pH, temperature and agitation speed) affected on the growth of the most potent degrading bacterial *L. macrolides*

The effect of pH, temperature and agitation speed on the growth of strain was studied: 100 ml conical flasks containing 50 ml MSM supplemented with 1% acetamiprid divided two groups the first group inoculated with 1 ml from bacterial culture at 10⁷ cfu/ml while the other group inoculated with 2 beads of immobilized bacteria. To determine the pH, flasks were approved out at pH via 6.7, 8 and 9.Whereas the effect of temperature, MSM medium at pH 7 was incubated at via 25, 30, 35 and 40°C at 150r/min for 10 days whereas, other flasks were incubated in orbital shaker at different agitation speed values via 0, 50,100 and 150rpm. All flasks were incubated for 10 days. The flasks without bacteria were kept as control. Three flasks were used for each experiment and we studied the effect of pH, temperature and agitation speed on degradation on ACP by bacteria count.

Biodegradation of acetamiprid by *L. macrolides* MSR H10 in soil

**Inoculum preparation**

*Lysinobacillus macrolides* MSR H10 was grown in LB broth medium for 48 hours at 28°C to exponential phase (6x10⁷ cfu ml⁻¹). Two forms of bacterial inoculums were used, either in capsules (5 capsules for each pot) or liquid culture (1 mLx10⁷cfu⁻¹).

**Soil treatments**

A plastic pot 15 cm in diameter and 13 cm depth were prepared and received with 1500g dried soils to evaluate the bioremediation in contaminated soil. About 400 ml distilled water was added and the final moisture content of the soil was approximately 60% of the maximum water-holding capacity (WHC). An aqueous solution of acetamiprid was added into soil to make the final concentrations of 1, 5 and 10 mg kg⁻¹ dried soil in soil afterward one mL of inoculum was added to every pot. During incubation period certain amount of distilled water was added to keep the WHC. The control was without bacteria ( soil amended with distilled sterile water) in order to obtain the same final moisture content of the soil was approximately 60% soil WHC to allow the comparison of the microbial abundance in the absence/presence of acetamiprid insecticide.
Analytical procedure:
Extraction of acetamiprid

During the experiment, samples (50g) were collected periodically at 1, 7, 14, 21 and 28 days intervals of time for estimation of pesticide degradation. The concentration of acetamiprid was estimated by HPLC for each time we transferred into 250 ml centrifuge funnel. Afterwards, added 40 ml dichloromethane to 40 ml of sodium chloride solution (20%). Sample was vigorously shaken for one hour and allowed to stand until separation of layers. The dichloromethane layer was collected in a clean bottle and the aqueous layer was re-extracted twice with 20 ml dichloromethane. Dichloromethane fractions were recombined in a clean bottle and dried up by passing through anhydrous sodium sulphate on a filter paper. The solvent was concentrated to near dryness and the residues were re-constituted in 1ml dichloromethane and stored in the refrigerator at 5°C for chromatographic determination by HPLC (41).

Chromatographic determination of acetamiprid residues

The concentrations of acetamiprid were determined using an Agilent HPLC 1260 infinity series (Agilent technologies) equipped with a quaternary pump, a variable wavelength diode array detector (DAD), an auto sampler with an electric sample valve. The column was Nucleosil C18 (30 cm x 4.6 mm (i.d) x 5 μm film thickness). The mobile phase was 56/35 (V/V) mixture of HPLC grade acetonitrile /water. The wavelength was 220 nm and the mobile phase flow rate was 0.8 ml/min. The retention time of acetamiprid under these conditions was 20ul and the injection volume was 2.6 μL under these conditions.

Statistical analysis

This work was randomized block design. Least significant difference test was used to compare means using the statistical analysis software; CoStat (CoHort Software, U.S.A) version 6.4. The values 8 of probability p ≤0.05 were considered statistically significant. Based on the least significant difference test.

Results and Discussion

Screening of bacterial isolates for acetamiprid (AAP) degradation

The ability of 15 isolates to degrade AAP was chiefly examined in MSM broth media containing 1% acetamiprid incubated at 30°C. Only acetamiprid tolerant bacteria will survive in the minimal broth and a Log of bacterial count number (CFU/ml) were determined as shown in Fig.3 AAP is a member of the neonicotinoid group of insecticides generally used against wide range of insect pests; AAP is used usually in crop protection (42 and 43). Consequently, it is probable that several bacteria adapted to this acetamiprid-contaminated environment. Many of these bacteria used the contaminant as the sole source of carbon and energy. Only ten bacterial were capable
to grow display good growth in MSM media and degradation of AAP. No. H10 and H2 recorded highest growth 9.0 and 8.6 CFU/ml while isolate No. H3, H4, H8, H11 and H12 can't able to grow and degradation the AAP in MSM media. In this concern, similar results obtained from (44) strains from Ochrobactrum genus have been reported to degrade various xenobiotics such as vinyl chloride, dimethyl formamide (45), methyl parathion (46). The bacterial isolate No.H10 appeared brownish in color with powdery texture after incubation period also. Therefore, it is selected to identify by 16S r RNA.

![Graph showing bacterial count (Log cfu/ml) grown on MSM supplemented with 1% of acetamprid.](image)

**Fig.3.** Bacterial count (Log cfu/ml) grown on MSM supplemented with 1% of acetamprid.

**Identification and biochemical characterization of the best performing isolates MSR H10.**

Based on the 16S rRNA, the H10 isolate was identified as *Lysinobacillus macrolides* with a close relative to *Lysinobacillus macrolides* strain DSM54 and LMG8474 with 99% sequence match Fig.2. MSR H10 was evaluated for the plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (Table 2). The strain was found to be a Gram-positive, rod shape, produces IAA, positive to catalase test, as well solubilize the tricalcium phosphate, production the hydrogen cyanide on Kings B agar medium amended with glycine, it could also producing exopolysaccharides (EPS), and able to fix Nitrogen in media, this results are in harmony with previously reported results of the same species (47) and (48). After soil or foliar application, Thia- accelerates the improvement of PGPR such as *B. pumilus*, *B. subtilis* (49). It can also improve production of EPS and other substances by the PGPR *P. putida*, *Klebsiella* sp., *Rhizobium* sp., and *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (50). Enhanced production of these substances is useful for the growth and vigor of certain plants.
Table 2. Physio-biochemical characteristics and plant growth promoting capabilities of *L. macrolides* MSR H10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th><em>L. macrolides</em> strain MSR H10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cell shape</td>
<td>Rod shaped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram-staining</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motility</td>
<td>Motile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colony shape</td>
<td>Circular-convex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indol acetic acid (IAA)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalase Test</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate solubilization</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCN</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPS production</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogenase activity</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Optimization of the Environmental Conditions on the Growth of the Most Potent Degrading Bacterial *Lysinobacillus macrolides* MSR H10

Temperature, pH, oxygen, substrate concentration, etc. could affect the degradation (51). Microorganisms can be isolated from almost any environmental conditions. Microbes will adjust and grow at subzero temperatures, as well as extreme heat, desert conditions. In this study, the optimum pH, temperature and agitation speed value for degradation APP was studied by inoculation bacteria in two types in MSM media supplemented with 1% AAP under four levels of pH 6, 7, 8 and 9 besides four levels of temperature 25, 30, 35 and 40°C while the agitation speed under 0, 50, 150 and 250 rpm Fig. 4 (a, b and c). Bacteria needed a suitable temperature, pH and agitation speed value for growth and degradation the AAP. *L. macrolides* in two types can able to grow at different value of pH, temperature and agitation speed, the growth is decreased under pH value 9 and 40°C under 50 rpm whereas the optimum growth for degradation AAP were pH 7 at 30°C under 200 rpm. Immobilized bacteria with sodium alginate recorded highest value for growth compared to free culture, the maximum microbial population were 9.34 cfu/ml at pH(7), 9.4 cfu/ml under 30°C, 10.0 cfu/ml under 250 rpm respectively. Temperature, humidity and agitation were the most important factors, which affected the growth and degradation (52). (53) found that several factors including pH and temperature affected degradation of fenitrothion-contaminated soil. (54) confirmed the effects of different temperatures (20°C, 25°C, 30°C, 35°C and 40°C) and pH (5, 6, 7, 8 and 9) on the imidacloprid biodegradation rate, and he found that, the optimum conditions for degradation were a pH of 8 and temperature of 30°C.
Fig. 4. Effect of pH (a), temperature (b) and agitation (c) on the growth of the most potent degrading bacterial Lysinobacillus macrolides MSR H10; values are the means of three replicates with standard deviation.

Biodegradation potential of acetamiprid (AAP) soils by L. macrolides

Biodegradation rate of acetamiprid at different concentrations (1,5and 10 mg L\(^{-1}\)) by two forms of bacteria was studied in two types of polluted soils (sandy and clay) under 30°C and pH7 after 1,7,14,21 and 28 days by HPLC are illustrated Fig. (5, 6 and 7) respectively. There was an initial phase of slower degradation, which was longer at high concentrations, polluted sandy or clay soil with three concentration AAP supplemented with bacteria showed a significant higher AAP degradation rate compared with polluted soil untreated with bacteria. There was also little degradation of AAP at all concentrations in the untreated soil during the 28 day of incubation period. AAP concentration 1 mg/L (Fig.5 a and b) the hydrolysis percentages recoded 16 and 19% respectively in untreated soil, while were 92% with treated sandy soil by liquid culture and zero with sandy soil treated with capsulated bacteria on the other hand the hydrolysis percentages in clay soil recorded 18 % with liquid culture and 94% with capsulated bacteria respectively. After 28 days the hydrolysis percentages recoded highest degradation compared with other periods in all concentration. Under AAP concentration 5 mg/L (Fig.6 a and b) the hydrolysis percentages in sandy soil recorded 97.2% with liquid culture compared to 96.8 % with capsulated soil whereas in clay soil the hydrolysis percentages recorded zero with two forms bacteria after 28 days from incubation period. The concentration percentage was 1.2 ppm in clay soil treated with capsulated bacteria compared to untreated soil recorded 0.93 ppm AAP after 21 days.
from incubation. At concentration 10 mg/L (Fig. 7 a and b) AAP the degradations rates reached 89.1 % and 98.8% respectively compared to control 14.2% in sandy soil while were 98.9% and 98.8% respectively in clay soil after 28 days from incubation period compared to control compared to control 12.9 %. Generally, the degradation of AAP was achieved higher at concentration 5 mg/L after 21 days in clay soil treated with two forms of bacterial, while at concentration 10 mg/L the degradation AAP was achieved higher after 28 days in sandy and clay soil, it was noteworthy that this particular strain could tolerate and proficiently degrade acetamiprid up to the concentration, as high as 10 mg/L. The loss of AAP in untreated clay soil was 12.9% and this may be due to evaporation, drift or leaching, while the loss win soil treated with capsulated soil was 98.8%. These conclusions indicated that increased acetamiprid concentration had a marked effect on degradation performance of strain *Lysinobacillus macrolides*, but did not lead to complete inhibition. These results showed that strain *L. macrolides* were responsible for acetamiprid degradation. It was detected that, with an increase in the concentration of the acetamiprid, the degradation potential of the isolate decreases and is completely inhibited at a concentration of 10 mg/L of AAP, Moreover, (55) demonstrated that Strain D-12 was able to completely degrade acetamiprid with initial concentrations of 0–3000 mg/L within 48 h. bacterial strain was able to utilize acetamiprid as a sole carbon, nitrogen and energy source, albeit with low growth rates (56). The bacterial was able to degrade the substrate completely up to 10 mg/L of AAP in soil. (57). They found that acetamiprid could be transformed with a maximum specific degradation rate, half-saturation constant and inhibit constant of 1.775/36 h, 175.3 mg L$^{-1}$ and 396.5 mg L$^{-1}$, respectively, illustrating that the degradation rate of acetamiprid was restrained at high concentration. Similarly, Neonicotinoid metabolites can vary usually depending on the chemical structure of the pesticide and the catabolic activity of a degrading microorganism under a specific set of environmental conditions (58).

![Degradation of AAP at 1 mg L$^{-1}$ by strain *L. macrolides* in sandy (a) and clay (b) soil after 1, 7, 14, 21 and 28 days from incubation periods. Values are the means of three replicates with standard deviation](image-url)

Fig. 5. Degradation of AAP at 1 mg L$^{-1}$ by strain *L. macrolides* in sandy (a) and clay (b) soil after 1, 7, 14, 21 and 28 days from incubation periods. Values are the means of three replicates with standard deviation.
Fig. 6: Degradation of AAP at 5 mg L⁻¹ by strain *L. macrolides* in sandy (A) and clay (B) soil after 1, 7, 14, 21 and 28 days from incubation periods. Values are the means of three replicates with standard deviation.

Fig. 7: Degradation of AAP at 10 mg L⁻¹ by strain *L. macrolides* in sandy (A) and clay (B) soil after 1, 7, 14, 21 and 28 days from incubation periods. Values are the means of three replicates with standard deviation.
Conclusions

In the present study, we isolated 15 isolates, screening of potential acetamiprid degradation. PCR amplification followed by of 16S rRNA gene sequencing were used to identify most performing strain H10 as L. macrolides MSR H10. Immobilization of the bacteria in a solid matrix is a benefit ended the free culture and can be used, giving an enhanced costly appeared to be highly efficient in degrading acetampired in soil. Temperature, pH, and agitation speed play key roles in determining the rate of acetamiprid degradation and this strain could be a significant potential using for crackdown of acetamiprid-contaminated soil. Moreover, the immobilized strain was able to degrade the acetamiprid completely up to 10 mg/L of AAP in soil after 21 days from incubation periods.
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